

Introduction

- Data Matching and Label Matching
- Conditional Data Matching

Marginal Matching + Label Matching







- **Conditional GAN**
- Objectives

 $L_D = \mathbb{E}_{x, y \sim P_{xy}} \mathscr{A}(-\tilde{D}(x, y)) + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim P_z, y \sim Q_y} \mathscr{A}(\tilde{D}(G(z, y), y))$ $L_G = \mathbb{E}_{z \sim P_z, y \sim Q_y} \mathscr{A}(-\tilde{D}(G(z, y), y))$

 \mathscr{A} is activation function, \tilde{D} is the logit. Vanilla GAN, $\mathscr{A}(t) = softplus(t) = \log(1 + \exp(t))$.

Decomposition

$$\tilde{D}^{*}(x, y) = \log \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} + \log \frac{P(y|x)}{Q(y|x)}$$

$$Marginal Matching Label Matching$$

$$= \log \frac{P(x|y)}{Q(x|y)} + \log \frac{P(y)}{Q(y)}$$

$$Data Matching$$

Projection GAN (*Miyato 2018*)

$$\tilde{D}(x, y) = v_y^T \phi(x) + \psi(\phi(x))$$

 $\phi(\cdot)$ is the image embedding function, v_v is embedding of class. $v_v := v_v^p - v_v^q$ is the difference of real and fake class embeddings.

Dual Projection Generative Adversarial Networks for Conditional Image Generation

Ligong Han¹, Martin Rengiang Min², Anastasis Stathopoulos¹, Yu Tian¹, Ruijiang Gao³, Asim Kadav², and Dimitris Metaxas¹ ¹Rutgers University ²NEC Labs America ³The University of Texas at Austin

Dual Projection GAN (P2GAN)

Fake "Dog"





Fake "Horse'



Objective



Weighted Dual Projection GAN (P2GAN-w)

 $L_D^{P2w} = L_D + \lambda \cdot (L_r^p)$

 $L_G^{P2} = L_G(\tilde{D})$

Theoretical Analysis

Proposition 1. When $\psi = 0$, a Proj-GAN reduces to $K \stackrel{!}{=} DM$ -GAN (explicit data matching) unconditional GANs, each of them minimizes the Jensen- • Set $\psi(\cdot) = 0$ Shannon divergence between $P_{X|y}$ and $Q_{X|y}$ with mixing ratio $\left\{\frac{P(y)}{P(y)+Q(y)}, \frac{Q(y)}{P(y)+Q(y)}\right\}$. Its value function can be written as,

$$\mathbb{E}_{P_Y}\left\{\mathbb{E}_{P_{X|Y}}\log D(x|y) + \frac{Q_y}{P_y}\mathbb{E}_{Q_{X|Y}}\log\left(1 - D(x|y)\right)\right\}. \quad L_D^f = L_D(\tilde{D}) + L_{mi}^p(x^+) + L_{mi}^q(x^-)$$
Proposition 2. Given a generator G, if cross entropy losses
$$L_{mi}^p \text{ and } L_{mi}^q \text{ are minimized optimally, then the difference} \quad \tilde{D} = v_X^T\phi(x) + b$$

of two losses evaluated at fake data equals the reverse KLdivergence between $P_{Y|X}$ and $Q_{Y|X}$,

$$L_{mi}^{p}(x^{-}) - L_{mi}^{q}(x^{-}) = \mathbb{E}_{Q_{X}} KL(Q_{Y|X} \| P_{Y|X}). \quad (1)$$





 $\tilde{D} = \frac{(v_v^p - v_v^q)^T}{(x_v^p - v_v^q)^T}\phi(x) + \psi(\phi(x))$

Untying Class Embeddings

 $L_D^{P2} = L_D(\tilde{D}) + L_{mi}^p + L_{mi}^q$ Classification Losses

$$p_{mi} + L_{mi}^q)$$

f-cGAN (explicit label matching)

Experiments





ImageNet and CIFAR10

	ImageNet 128×128		CIFAR10	
	IS ↑	$FID \downarrow$	IS ↑	$FID \downarrow$
Proj-GAN	30.73	23.07	*9.85	*8.03
P2GAN	59.24	16.86	9.76	8.00
P2GAN-w	42.69	19.19	9.87	7.99

Summary

10)



TAC-GAN P2GAN-w f-cGAN -40 class • +0 • +1 • +2 -60 -0 • -1 -40 -20 0 20 40 60 t-SNE axis-0 -60 -40 -20 0 20 40 t-SNE axis-0 -60 -40 -20 0 20 40 t-SNE axis-0 -40 -20 0 20 40 t-SNE axis-0



We give insights on projection form of conditional discriminators and propose a new conditional generative adversarial network named Dual Projection GAN (P2GAN). We demonstrate its flexibility in modeling and balancing data matching and label matching. We further rigorously analyze the underlying connections between AC-GAN, TAC-GAN, and Proj-GAN. From the analysis, we first propose *f*-cGAN, a general framework for learning conditional GAN. We demonstrate the efficacy of our proposed models on various synthetic and real-world datasets.